Imprints of a Primordial Preferred Direction on the Microwave Background

Lotty Ackerman, Sean M. Carroll and Mark B. Wise

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125
(Dated: February 3, 2008)

Rotational invariance is a well-established feature of low-energy physics. Violations of this symmetry must be extremely small today, but could have been larger in earlier epochs. In this paper we examine the consequences of a small breaking of rotational invariance during the inflationary era when the primordial density perturbations were generated. Assuming that a fixed-norm vector picked out a preferred direction during the inflationary era, we explore the imprint it would leave on the cosmic microwave background anisotropies, and provide explicit formulas for the expected amplitudes $\langle \delta_{\ell m} \hat{a}_{\ell m} \rangle$ of the spherical-harmonic coefficients. We suggest that it is natural to expect that the imprint on the primordial power spectrum of a preferred spatial direction is approximately scale-invariant, and examine a simple model in which this is true.

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflationary cosmology, originally proposed as a solution to the horizon, flatness, and monopole problems \cite{1, 2}, provides a very successful mechanism for generating primordial density perturbations. During inflation, quantum vacuum fluctuations in a light scalar field are redshifted far outside the Hubble radius, imprinting an approximately scale-invariant spectrum of classical density perturbations \cite{3, 4}. Models that realize this scenario have been widely discussed \cite{5, 6, 7}. The resulting perturbations give rise to galaxy formation and temperature anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background, in excellent agreement with observation \cite{8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16}.

Since we observe $C_{\ell}$ multipoles on very large scales \cite{22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42}, although certainly not in all of them, the energy scale providing a unique window on physics at otherwise inaccessible energies \cite{43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52}. Our aim is not to construct a model contrived to explain this phenomenon \cite{43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52}. Our aim is not to construct a model contrived to explain the currently observed large-scale anomalies, but rather to make robust predictions for the observable consequences of a preferred direction during inflation, allowing observations to put constraints on its magnitude.

The power spectrum $P(k)$ for the primordial density perturbations $\delta(k)$ is defined by

$$\langle \delta(k) \delta(q) \rangle = P(k) \delta^3(k - q). \quad (1)$$

The Dirac delta function in Eq. \ref{eq:1} implies that modes with different wavenumbers are uncoupled, and is a consequence of translational invariance during the inflationary era. On the other hand, the fact that the power spectrum $P(k)$ only depends on the magnitude of the vector $k$ is a consequence of rotational invariance. Suppose that during the inflationary era rotational invariance is broken by the presence of a small vector that points in the direction of a unit vector $n$. Assuming a parity $k \rightarrow -k$ symmetry, the leading effect of the violation of rotational invariance changes the most general form of the power spectrum from $P(k)$ to $P'(k)$, where

$$P'(k) = P(k) \left(1 + g(k)(\hat{k} \cdot n)^2\right). \quad (2)$$

Here $\hat{k}$ is the unit vector along the direction of $k$ and we are neglecting higher powers of $\hat{k} \cdot n$ since they will be suppressed by more powers of the magnitude of the small vector that breaks rotational invariance. (Effects of a timelike vector on inflationary perturbations have also been studied \cite{53}.)

Towards the end of the inflationary era, the physical wavelengths that correspond to scales of astrophysical interest are large compared with the inverse Hubble constant during inflation or any of the dimensionful particle-physics quantities that might be relevant during inflation. The same naturalness arguments that lead to the scale-invariant Harrison-Zeldovich spectrum (i.e., primordial
\( P(k) \propto 1/k^3 \) imply that \( g(k) \) in Eq. \((2)\) should be independent of \( k \). Assuming that \( g(k) \) is a \( k \)-independent constant \( g_* \) over the scales of astrophysical interest, we arrive at

\[
P'(k) = P(k) \left( 1 + g_* (\hat{k} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 \right).
\]

This is the form of the primordial power spectrum that takes into account the leading effects of the violation of rotational invariance by a small vector in the inflationary era that points in the direction \( \mathbf{n} \). In the next section we discuss the implications of the power spectrum in Eqs. \((2)\) and \((3)\) for the anisotropy of the microwave background radiation. The breaking of rotational invariance gives rise to correlations between multipole moments that would normally vanish and also alters the predictions for the usual multipole moment correlations. In section three we discuss a simple model that realizes the form of the primordial power spectrum in Eq. \((3)\). Concluding remarks are given in section four.

II. MICROWAVE BACKGROUND

We are interested in a quantitative understanding of how the substitution, \( P(k) \rightarrow P'(k) \), changes the prediction for the microwave background anisotropy \( \Delta T/T \). The multipole moments are defined by

\[
a_{lm} = \int d\Omega e Y^m_l(\mathbf{e}) \frac{\Delta T}{T} (\mathbf{e}).
\]

The anisotropy of the microwave background temperature \( T \) along the direction of the unit vector \( \mathbf{e} \) is related to the primordial fluctuations by

\[
\frac{\Delta T}{T} (\mathbf{e}) = \int dk \sum_l \left( \frac{2l + 1}{4\pi} \right) P_l(\hat{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}) \delta(k) \Theta_l(k),
\]

where \( P_l \) is the Legendre polynomial of order \( l \) and \( \Theta_l(k) \) is a function of the magnitude of the wave-vector \( k \) that includes, for example, the effects of the transfer function. It can only depend on the magnitude of the wave-vector since the dynamics after the inflationary era is assumed to be rotationally invariant.

We would like to compute the expectation values \( \langle a_{lm} a_{l'm'}^* \rangle \) to first order in the small quantity \( g(k) \) that characterizes the primordial violation of rotational invariance. We write

\[
\langle a_{lm} a_{l'm'}^* \rangle = \langle a_{lm} a_{l'm'}^* \rangle_0 + \Delta(lm; l'm'),
\]

where the subscript 0 denotes the usual rotationally invariant piece,

\[
\langle a_{lm} a_{l'm'}^* \rangle_0 = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{m'm'} \int_0^{\infty} dk k^2 P(k) \Theta_l(k)^2.
\]

It is useful to introduce the “spherical” components of the unit vector \( \mathbf{n} \) that defines the preferred direction for rotational non-invariance,

\[
n_+ = -\left( \frac{n_x - in_y}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad n_- = \left( \frac{n_x + in_y}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad n_0 = n_z.
\]

In terms of these components the unit norm condition becomes \( n_0^2 - 2n_+ n_- = 1 \). Note that we do not assume that the preferred direction \( \mathbf{n} \) coincides with the \( \mathbf{z} \) axis of the coordinate system used to parameterize the microwave sky (i.e., that \( n_+ = n_- = 0 \)). Expressions analogous to ours have been derived by G"{u}m"{u}k"{u}c"{u}o"{g}lu et al. \[52\] under the assumption that these two directions are coincident; see also \[37\].

Using the identity

\[
P_l(\hat{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}) = \frac{4\pi}{2l + 1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} (Y^m_l(\mathbf{e}))^* Y^m_l(\hat{k}),
\]

it is straightforward to express the sought-after perturbation as

\[
\Delta(lm; l'm') = \xi_{lm;l'm'} \int_0^{\infty} dk k^2 P(k) \Theta_l(k) \Theta_{l'}(k),
\]

where

\[
\xi_{lm;l'm'} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \int d\Omega k Y^m_l(\mathbf{k})(Y^{m'}_{l'}(\hat{k}))^* \left( n_+ Y^1_1(\hat{k}) + n_- Y^{-1}_1(\hat{k}) + n_0 Y^0_1(\hat{k}) \right)^2.
\]

The integral in \((10)\) encodes information about the power spectrum and the transfer function, as well as the scale-dependence of the preferred-direction effect, while the constants \( \xi_{lm;l'm'} \) are purely geometric. The integration over solid angles is straightforward to perform. It is convenient to decompose the \( \xi_{lm;l'm'} \) into coefficients of the quadratic quantities \( n_i n_j \), via

\[
\xi_{lm;l'm'} = n_+^2 \xi^{++}_{lm;l'm'} + n_-^2 \xi^{--}_{lm;l'm'} + 2n_+ n_- \xi^{+-}_{lm;l'm'} + 2n_+ n_0 \xi^{+0}_{lm;l'm'} + 2n_- n_0 \xi^{-0}_{lm;l'm'} + n_0^2 \xi^{00}_{lm;l'm'}.
\]

These coefficients are then given by the following expressions:
We can then find a simple expression for their ratio, 

\[ \xi_{\ell m^*;\ell'} = -\delta_{m',m+2} \left[ \delta_{\ell',\ell} \sqrt{(l^2 - (m+1)^2)(l + m + 2)(l - m)} \frac{(l + m + 1)(l + m + 2)(l + m + 3)(l + m + 4)}{(2l + 3)(2l - 1)} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\ell',\ell+2} \sqrt{(l + m + 1)(l + m + 2)(l + m + 3)(l + m + 4)} \frac{(l + m + 1)(l + m + 2)(l + m + 3)(l + m + 4)}{(2l + 1)(2l + 3)^2(2l + 5)} \right] \]

\[ \xi_{\ell m^*;\ell'} = \xi_{\ell m^*;\ell'}^+ = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{m',m} \left[ -2 \delta_{\ell',\ell} \frac{(-1 + l + l^2 + m^2)}{(2l - 1)(2l + 3)} + \frac{\delta_{\ell',\ell+2} \sqrt{(l^2 - m^2)(l - m - 1)(l - m - 2)} (2l - 3)(2l - 1)^2(2l + 1)}{(2l - 1)(2l + 3)^2(2l + 5)} \right] \]

\[ \xi_{\ell m^*;\ell'}^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{m,m+1} \left[ \delta_{\ell',\ell} \frac{(2m + 1)(l + m + 1)(l - m)}{(2l - 1)(2l + 3)} + \frac{\delta_{\ell',\ell+2} \sqrt{(l^2 - m^2)(l + m + 2)(l + m + 3)} (2l + 1)(2l + 3)^2(2l + 5)}{(2l - 1)(2l + 3)^2(2l + 5)} \right] \]

\[ \xi_{\ell m^*;\ell'}^- = -\xi_{\ell m^*;\ell'}^{0*} \]

\[ \xi_{\ell m^*;\ell'}^0 = \delta_{m,m} \left[ \delta_{\ell',\ell} \frac{(2l^2 + 2l - 2m^2 - 1)}{(2l - 1)(2l + 3)} + \frac{\delta_{\ell',\ell+2} \sqrt{(l^2 - m^2)(l + m + 2)(l + m + 3)} (2l + 1)(2l + 3)^2(2l + 5)}{(2l - 1)(2l + 3)^2(2l + 5)} \right] \]  

\[ \xi_{\ell m^*;\ell'}^0 = \delta_{m,m} \left[ \delta_{\ell',\ell} \frac{(2l^2 + 2l - 2m^2 - 1)}{(2l - 1)(2l + 3)} + \frac{\delta_{\ell',\ell+2} \sqrt{(l^2 - m^2)(l + m + 2)(l + m + 3)} (2l + 1)(2l + 3)^2(2l + 5)}{(2l - 1)(2l + 3)^2(2l + 5)} \right] \]  

(13)

The formulas [12, 13] are explicit expressions for the geometrical part of the perturbation [10]. As we mentioned in the introduction, it is natural to imagine that the violation of rotational invariance is approximately scale invariant, which implies that it is a good approximation to set \( g(k) = g_* \), a constant. If we define polar coordinates \( \theta_*, \phi_* \) for the preferred direction, 

\[ n_x = \sin \theta_* \cos \phi_*, \quad n_y = \sin \theta_* \sin \phi_*, \quad n_z = \cos \theta_* \]  

(14)

these expressions can be compared directly with observations to constrain the three parameters \( \langle g_*, \theta_*, \phi_* \rangle \).

When \( g(k) = g_* \), a simplification occurs for \( l = l' \) and \( m = m' \), as the dependence on the power spectrum for the terms that violate rotational invariance \( \Delta_{\ell m^*;\ell m} \) is the same as the rotationally-invariant part \( \langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^* \rangle_0 \). We can then find a simple expression for their ratio, 

\[ \frac{\Delta_{\ell m^*;\ell m}}{\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^* \rangle_0} = \frac{g_*}{4} \left[ \sin^2 \theta_* + (3 \cos^2 \theta_* - 2) \right] \]  

(15)

For large multipoles, \( l \gg 1 \), and for the magnitude of \( m \) of the order of \( l \), this expression simplifies to 

\[ \frac{\Delta_{\ell m^*;\ell m}}{\langle a_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^* \rangle_0} = \frac{g_*}{4} \left[ 1 + \cos^2 \theta_* - (3 \cos^2 \theta_* - 1) \frac{m^2}{l^2} \right] \]  

(16)

III. INFLATION MODEL WITH A PREFERRED DIRECTION

It is interesting to see how the rotationally non-invariant power spectrum in Eq. (3) can arise in an explicit model of anisotropic inflation. We will assume that, during most of the inflationary era, rotational invariance is broken by a spacelike four-vector \( u^\mu \) with invariant length 

\[ g_{\mu \nu} u^\mu u^\nu = m^2 \]  

(17)

We will consider the effect of the energy-momentum tensor associated with this vector on the expansion of the universe during inflation, ignoring direct couplings of \( u^\mu \) to other fields. Gravitational effects of dynamical Lorentz-violating vector fields have been considered previously in the literature [52, 53, 57, 58, 59, 60].

We assume that the four-vector \( u^\mu \) is non-zero only during the time interval \( 0 < t < t_* \), where \( t_* \) is the end of inflation, so that the dynamics is rotationally invariant.
during reheating and thereafter. During the time interval \(0 < t < t_\star\), the dynamics of interest is governed by the action

\[
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{16\pi G} R - \rho_\Lambda + \mathcal{L}_u + \mathcal{L}_\chi \right),
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{L}_\chi = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{L}_u = -\beta_1 \nabla^\mu u^\nu \nabla_\mu u_\sigma - \beta_2 (\nabla_\nu u^\nu)^2 - \beta_3 \nabla^\mu u^\sigma \nabla_\sigma u_\mu + \lambda (u^\mu u_\mu - m^2).
\]

Here \(\lambda\) is a Lagrange multiplier that enforces the constraint (17). Quantum fluctuations in the massless scalar field \(\chi\) are assumed to dominate the density perturbations via the DGZK mechanism [54]. In that case we need simply calculate the fluctuations in \(\chi\), without worrying about the behavior of the inflationary potential.

We approximate the inflaton energy density as a constant, modeling the effects of the inflaton field by a vacuum energy \(\rho_\Lambda\) in Eq. (18). The inflationary spacetime is taken of the form

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 dx_1^2 + b(t)^2 dz^2
\]

since we have chosen the four-vector to be aligned along the \(z\)-axis direction,

\[
u^0 = 0, \quad u^x = 0, \quad u^y = 0, \quad u^z = \frac{m}{b(t)}.
\]

The energy-momentum tensor for \(u^\mu\) derived from (20) is [58]

\[
T^{(u)}_{\mu\nu} = 2\beta_1 (\nabla_\mu u^\nu \nabla_\rho u_\rho - \nabla^\rho u_\rho \nabla_\mu u_\nu) - 2[\nabla_\mu (u_\rho J^\rho_\nu) + \nabla_\nu (u_\rho J^\rho_\mu)] - \nabla_\mu (u_\rho J^\rho_\nu) - \nabla_\nu (u_\rho J^\rho_\mu) + 2m^2 u_\mu u_\nu + g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_u,
\]

where \(J^\rho_\mu\) is the current tensor,

\[
J^\mu_\sigma = -\beta_1 \nabla^\mu u_\sigma - \beta_2 \delta^\mu_\sigma \nabla_\nu u^\nu - \beta_3 \nabla_\sigma u^\mu.
\]

Given (22) and (23), the nonvanishing components of the stress tensor are

\[
T^{(u)}_{00} = \beta_1 m^2 \left( \frac{b}{\dot{b}} \right)^2
\]

\[
T^{(u)}_{xx} = T^{(u)}_{yy} = \beta_1 m^2 a^2 \left( \frac{b}{\dot{b}} \right)^2
\]

\[
T^{(u)}_{zz} = \beta_1 m^2 \left( b^2 - 2\dot{b}b - 4\frac{\dot{a}b}{a} \right).
\]

Note that the components of the energy momentum tensor in our chosen background are independent of \(\beta_2\) and \(\beta_3\).

Solving Einstein’s equation during the time interval \(0 < t < t_\star\), with initial conditions \(a(0) = 1\) and \(b(0) = 1\), gives

\[
a(t) = e^{H_s t}, \quad b(t) = e^{H_p t},
\]

where

\[
H_a = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = H_b(1 + 16\pi G \beta_1 m^2),
\]

\[
H_b = \frac{b}{\dot{b}} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G \rho_\Lambda}{(1 + 8\pi G \beta_1 m^2)(3 + 32\pi G \beta_1 m^2)}}
\]

According to the cosmic no-hair theorem, initially expanding homogeneous cosmological models in the presence of a positive cosmological constant will rapidly approach a de Sitter solution, if the other matter fields obey the dominant and strong energy conditions [61]. Our specific model violates these conditions. Nevertheless, for \(\beta_3 = -\beta_1\) and \(\beta_2 = 0\) the kinetic term for fluctuations about our background has the form of a field strength tensor squared and so is ghost free. We therefore expect the configuration to be stable with respect to small fluctuations.

It will turn out to be convenient to refer to a fictitious isotropic metric,

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + \bar{a}(t)^2 [dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2],
\]

in which the scale factor expands exponentially

\[
\bar{a}(t) = e^{H t}
\]

with an “average” Hubble parameter,

\[
\bar{H} = \frac{1}{3} (2H_a + H_b).
\]

Deviations from isotropy can be parameterized by

\[
\epsilon_H = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{H_b - H_a}{\bar{H}} \right),
\]

where the \(2/3\) will become useful later. We work in the limit \(N_e|\epsilon_H| \ll 1\), where \(N_e = \bar{H} t_\star\) is the number of e-foldings during the time when the four-vector \(u^\mu\) is non-zero. This assures that the violation of rotational invariance due to the anisotropic expansion is always a small perturbation.

We need to compute the correlation function \(\langle \chi(x, t) \chi(y, t) \rangle\). Treating \(\epsilon_H\) as a small perturbation, we find that to first order in this quantity we obtain (see for example ref. [62])

\[
\langle \chi(x, t) \chi(y, t) \rangle \simeq \langle \chi_I(x, t) \chi_I(y, t) \rangle + i \int_0^t dt' \langle H_I(t'), \chi_I(x, t) \chi_I(y, t) \rangle.
\]
Here the interaction-picture Hamiltonian $H_I(t)$ is given by

$$H_I(t) = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( b(t) - \bar{a}(t) \right) \left( \frac{d\chi_I}{dx} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{a(t)^2}{b(t)} - \bar{a}(t) \right) \left( \frac{d\chi_I}{dx} \right)^2 \right].$$

The interaction-picture (i.e. free) field obeys the rotationally-invariant equation of motion,

$$\frac{d^2\chi_I}{dt^2} + 3\dot{H} \frac{d\chi_I}{dt} - \frac{1}{a(t)^2} \frac{d^2\chi_I}{dx^2} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

We can write the two-point correlation function in terms of Fourier transforms as

$$\langle \chi(x,t)\chi(y,t) \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)} \left[ P(k) + (k \cdot n)^2 \Delta P(k) \right].$$

Converting to the conformal time of the isotropic metric,

$$\tau = \frac{1}{H} e^{-\epsilon H t},$$

and expanding in $\epsilon_H$, we find that $P(k) \simeq |\chi_k^{(0)}(\tau)|^2$, and

$$\Delta P(k) \simeq 3i k^2 \epsilon_H \int_{-1/\epsilon_H}^{\tau} \frac{d\tau'}{H \tau'} \left[ \frac{1}{H \tau'} \right]^2 \times \log(-\dot{H} \tau') \left[ (\chi_k^{(0)}(\tau') \chi_k^{(0)}(\tau))^2 - (\chi_k^{(0)}(\tau')^* \chi_k^{(0)}(\tau))^2 \right],$$

where

$$\chi_k^{(0)}(\tau) = H \sqrt{2k} e^{-ik \tau} \left[ \tau - \frac{i}{k} \right].$$

We assume that the modes $k$ of astrophysical interest have wavelengths much smaller than the Hubble radius at the beginning of inflation, which in our normalization implies $k >> \dot{H}$. They cross the horizon around sixty $e$-foldings before the end of inflation (which we take to occur at about $t_\star$). Taking $|k\tau| << 1$, we find that

$$\Delta P(k) \simeq \frac{9}{4} \epsilon_H \frac{\dot{H}}{k^3} \log(k/\dot{H}),$$

where we have neglected contributions not enhanced by the large logarithm.

There is another way to derive Eq. (39). For modes with wavenumbers along the $\hat{z}$ direction or perpendicular to this direction, the Fourier transform of the two point function $\langle \chi(x,t)\chi(y,t) \rangle$ can be found exactly without resorting to perturbation theory. For example, modes $\chi_k$ with $k = k\hat{z}$ (wavevectors parallel to the preferred direction) obey the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2\chi_k}{dt^2} + 3\dot{H} \frac{d\chi_k}{dt} + \frac{k^2}{b(t)^2} \chi_k = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

The canonical commutation relations imply that $\chi_k$ satisfies the normalization condition,

$$\left( \frac{d\chi_k}{d\tau} \right) \chi_k(\tau)^* - \left( \frac{d\chi_k}{d\tau} \right)^* \chi_k(\tau) = -i(\dot{H} \tau)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

We find that the properly normalized solution to Eq. (40) is

$$\chi_k(\tau) = \frac{\dot{H}}{2\sqrt{1 + \epsilon_H}} \int H_{\nu}^{(2)} \frac{\left( k/\dot{H} \right)^{-\nu}(k\tau)^{1+\epsilon_H}}{1 + \epsilon_H}.$$

The contribution to the Fourier transform of the two point $\chi$ correlation for a mode along the $\hat{z}$ direction is $|\chi_k(\tau)|^2$. For small $\epsilon_H$ and $|k\tau|$ and large $k/\dot{H}$, this becomes

$$|\chi_k(\tau)|^2 \simeq \frac{\ddot{H}}{2k^3} \left( 1 + 3\epsilon_H \log(k/\dot{H}) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

Here we have neglected terms linear in $\epsilon_H$ that are not enhanced by the large logarithm. Combining this result with a similar analysis for modes perpendicular to the $\hat{z}$ direction reproduces the result in Eq. (39).

Finally we note that the density perturbation power spectrum is defined by a Fourier transform with respect to coordinates where physical laws have manifest rotational invariance. However at time $t = t_\star$ the coordinates in Eq. (41) do not exhibit manifest rotational invariance, due to the difference between $a(t_\star)$ and $b(t_\star)$. Rescaling coordinates, $z \to z(a(t_\star)/b(t_\star))$ and $x_{\perp} \to x_{\perp}(a(t_\star)/b(t_\star))$, we find that the function $g(k)$ characterizing the rotationally non-invariant part of the power spectrum for the primordial density perturbations is

$$g(k) = \frac{9}{2} \epsilon_H \left( \log(k/\dot{H}) - N_\star \right)$$

$$= \frac{9}{2} \epsilon_H \log(q(t_\star)/\dot{H}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

where the term proportional to $N_\star$ comes from the rescaling of coordinates and $q(t_\star) = k/a(t_\star)$ is the physical wavelength of the mode of interest at the end of inflation.

The logarithm in (43) is actually nearly constant over values of $q(t_\star)$ of astrophysical interest. The range of $q(t_\star)$ probed by CMB measurements is about a factor of $10^3$, so $\log(q(t_\star)/\dot{H})$ changes by roughly 7. But the modes of cosmological interest cross the deSitter horizon around 60 $e$-foldings before the end of inflation. So $|\log(q(t_\star)/\dot{H})|$ is approximately 60. Hence, in this model $g(k)$ varies by about 10% over the range of modes of cosmological interest and our general expectation that setting $g(k) = g_\star$ is a reasonable approximation has been confirmed.
For simplicity in this analysis we neglected terms that directly couple \( u^\mu \) to \( \chi \). For example we could have added the term \( u^\mu u^\nu \partial_{\mu} \chi / M^2 \) to the Lagrange density. It is easy to see that this gives an additional scale invariant contribution, \( 3m^2 / M^2 \), to \( g(k) \).

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have investigated the possibility that rotational invariance may have been explicitly broken during inflation by an effect that has disappeared in the later universe. The observed CMB temperature anisotropies provide a direct window onto the physics of the inflationary era, and therefore offer a unique opportunity for constraining (and discovering) new phenomena at high scales. Our aim has been to investigate the generic predictions we expect from the presence of a preferred direction during inflation.

If rotational invariance is violated during inflation, it is natural for the effects of such a violation to show up in a scale-invariant way, just as the amplitude of the perturbations themselves are approximately scale-invariant. Under that assumption, we derive a powerful set of predictions for the expectation values \( \langle a_m a^*_n \rangle \) that depend on only three parameters: a single amplitude \( g_\perp \), and a direction on the sky defined by a unit vector \( \mathbf{n} \). Investigation of a simple model confirms the approximate scale-independence of this effect. The resulting expressions can be directly compared with observations to probe the existence of small Lorentz-violating effects in the very early universe.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful for interesting discussions with Jonathan R. Pritchard at the beginning of this work, Suz Tolwinski before it even began, and Chris Gordon and Dragan Huterer near its completion. This research was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy and by the David and Lucile Packard Foundation.

[32] C. J. Copi, D. Huterer, D. J. Schwarz and G. D. Stark-


